BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

March 10, 2005		
IN RE:)	
PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF)	DOCKET NO.
CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL BY THE)	05-00007
NUMBER POOLING ADMINISTRATOR RELATING)	
TO MOUNTAIN NATIONAL BANK)	

ORDER APPROVING PETITION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW OF CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL AND REVERSING CENTRAL OFFICE CODE DENIAL

This matter came before Chairman Pat Miller, Director Deborah Taylor Tate, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on January 31, 2005 for consideration of the *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial* relating to Mountain National Bank ("Mountain National") filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc ("BellSouth") on January 10, 2005:

BACKGROUND

In March of 2000, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), recognizing "the near-crisis" caused by the exhaustion of telephone numbers in certain expanding geographic areas, initiated a policy designed "to slow down the rate at which central office codes (or NXXs) in those areas are assigned to carriers" Among other things, the FCC adopted a mandatory utilization data

In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No 99-200 (Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making) 15 FCC Rcd. 7574, 2000 WL 339808, ¶ 2, 183, 191 (March 31, 2000) (hereinafter "First Report and Order"), see also 47 U S C. § 251(e)(1) (directing the FCC to "create or designate one or more impartial entities to administer telecommunications numbering and to make such numbers available on an equitable basis")

reporting requirement, a uniform set of categories of numbers for which carriers must report their utilization, and a utilization threshold framework to increase carrier accountability and provide incentives to use numbers efficiently.² Under this policy, carriers seeking additional numbering resources must apply to the North American Numbering Plan Administration ("NANPA") "or another entity or entities, as designated by the Commission" for a decision as to whether to allocate the numbers.³

On June 18, 2001, the FCC designated NeuStar, Inc ("NeuStar") as the national thousands-block number Pooling Administrator. NeuStar, which also serves as the NANPA, currently administers thousands-block number pools by assigning, managing, forecasting, reporting, and processing data that will allow service providers in areas designated for thousands-block number pooling to receive telephone numbers in blocks of 1,000. On August 21, 2002, thousands-block number pooling was implemented in the Knoxville Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is in the 865 Numbering Plan Area ("NPA"). Since then, telecommunications service providers participating in number pooling in that area are required to submit their requests for additional numbering resources to the Pooling Administrator. The life expectancy of the 865 NPA is projected to be the fourth quarter of 2021.

On January 4, 2005, BellSouth submitted a Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Request to NeuStar to be assigned an NXX code necessary to meet the demands of its customer, Mountain National. Mountain National requested two hundred numbers to accommodate a consolidation of nine bank branches. BellSouth's application was for a growth code in the 865 NPA to fulfill Mountain National's request.

NeuStar, citing BellSouth's failure to meet its NXX Assignment Guidelines, denied BellSouth's request on January 4, 2005. The guidelines require that a rate center must have a 75%

² See First Report and Order, ¶¶ 40-41, 84, 97-98

³ See 47 CFR § 52 15(a); see also First Report and Order, ¶¶ 143-48 (providing background on the development of the pooling administrator)

⁴ In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No 99-200 (Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration) 17 FCC Rcd 252, 2001 WL 1658101, ¶ 11 (Dec 28, 2001) (hereinafter "Third Report and Order"), see also 47 C F R. § 52 15(a)

utilization rate and that its estimated exhaust date not exceed six months. BellSouth could not meet these guidelines While the Sevierville Rate Center has an 86.1% utilization rate, the projected exhaust date is ten months. BellSouth has not been able to fulfill this customer's request because the company lacks NXXs with a satisfactory block that it can assign to the customer.

On January 10, 2005, BellSouth filed with the Authority its *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial*. BellSouth asserts that its inability to supply Mountain National with the requested numbers prevents BellSouth from providing the quality of service its customers expect.⁵ BellSouth requests that the Authority reverse the Pooling Administrator's denial of its application and order the release of numbering resources.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Review by the TRA of the Pooling Administrator's denial of BellSouth's application is authorized by the FCC.⁶ According to the FCC,

We agree with the commenting parties that a safety valve mechanism should be established, and we delegate authority to state commissions to hear claims that a safety valve should be applied when the NANPA or Pooling Administrator denies a specific request for numbering resources. State commissions should only apply a safety valve mechanism as a last resort and, to the extent possible, use it as a stop gap measure to enable carriers in need of additional numbering resources to continue to serve their customers. We adopt one specific safety valve to address the numbering resource requirements of carriers experiencing rapid growth in a given rate area. We also clarify that states may grant requests by carriers that receive a specific customer request for numbering resources that exceeds their available inventory. Finally, we give states some flexibility to direct the NANPA or Pooling Administrator to assign additional numbering resources to carriers that have demonstrated a verifiable need for additional numbering resources outside of these specifically enumerated instances.⁷

State commissions conducting this review must act consistently with the FCC's policy of facilitating fair and efficient numbering administration in the United States and ensuring that numbering resources are available to all telecommunications service providers on a fair and equitable

⁷ Third Report and Order, ¶ 61

⁵ Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial, p. 4 (January 10, 2005)

⁶ Third Report and Order, ¶ 61, see also 47 C.F.R § 54 15(g)(3)(1V)

basis.⁸ At a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on January 31, 2005, the voting panel assigned to this docket considered BellSouth's *Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial*. After consideration of the record, the public interest⁹, and this agency's responsibility to foster competition in the telecommunications industry, the panel voted unanimously to approve BellSouth's request for expedited review and reverse the Pooling Administrator's denial of BellSouth's request for additional numbering resources, specifically for the assignment of one thousand-block to BellSouth for the Sevierville Rate Center, Switch/POI designation SVVLTNMTDS0.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1 The Petition for Expedited Review of Central Office Code Denial filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. is granted.

The Pooling Administrator's decision to deny BellSouth's request for one thousand-block for the Sevierville Rate Center is reversed as stated herein.

Pat Miller, Chairman

Deborah Taylor Tate, Director

 \frown

on Jones, Director

⁸ See, e.g., In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization, CC Docket No. 99-200 (Order) 16 FCC Rcd. 15,842, 2001 WL 964979, ¶ 8 (Aug. 24, 2001) ("[T]he state commissions, to the extent that they act under the authority delegated herein, must ensure that numbers are made available on an equitable basis, that numbering resources are made available on an efficient and timely basis, that whatever policies the state commissions institute with regard to numbering administration not unduly favor or disfavor any particular telecommunications industry segment or group of telecommunications consumers, and that the state commissions not unduly favor one telecommunications technology over another"), see FCC Announces GSA Approval of North American Numbering Council Through October 4, 2003, CC Docket No. 92-237 (Public Notice) 16 F C C.R. 18,502, 2001 WL 1222428 (Oct. 15, 2001)

⁹ See Tenn Code Ann. § 65-4-123 (2004)